

Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form

TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040327

Reporting Year (year will be either 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5): 1

Annual Reporting Year Option Selected by MS4:

Calendar Year: X

Permit Year: _____

Fiscal Year: _____ Last day of fiscal year: (_____) _____

Reporting period beginning date: (month/date/year) 10/01/2018

Reporting period end date: (month/date/year) 12/31/2019

MS4 Operator Level: 2 Name of MS4: Travis County

Contact Name: David Peyton Telephone Number: (512) 854-7686

Mailing Address: 700 Lavaca St. Austin TX 78701+3108

E-mail Address: david.peyton@traviscountytexas.gov

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES

Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 11-Austin

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

1. Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions:
(TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

	Yes	No	Explain
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as submitted to and approved by the TCEQ.	X		
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	X		

Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	X		
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report	X		

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs. You may use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 1 in instructions**):

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No, and explain.)
1.3 – Public Education and Outreach BMPs	1.3.1 - Grow Green Program	Yes; Information provided which affects storm water includes use of native and adapted landscape plants; landscape design; irrigation and water conservation; rain gardens; lawn, landscape, and tree care, including integrated pest management (IPM) techniques for limiting use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides.
	1.3.2 - Water Conservation and Quality Education Activities - Adult	Yes; Educates the public on ways they can become more environmentally active and conscious; Leads to a cleaner environment over time.
	1.3.3 - Water Science and Conservation Education Activities	Yes; Educates the public on ways they can become more environmentally active and conscious; Leads to a cleaner environment over time.
	1.3.4 - On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Outreach	Yes; Educating the public on how to design and maintain their OSSF system; Leads to less discharges over time.
	1.3.5 - Watershed and Creek Crossing Signs	Yes; Increases public awareness of the major watersheds within Travis County for all county residents and visitors.

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No, and explain.)
	1.3.6 - Construction Outreach	Yes; Educating the builders and public on the impacts that pollution in storm water run-off can have on water quality and the BMPs required for preventing and reducing pollutants in storm water runoff from construction activities.
	1.3.7 - Travis County Television (TCTV)	Yes; Educates the public on ways they can become more environmentally active and conscious; Leads to a cleaner environment over time.
	1.3.8 - SWMP Website	Yes; Educates the public on ways they can become more environmentally active and conscious; Leads to a cleaner environment over time.
1.6 – Public Involvement BMPs	1.6.1 - Public Notice for SWMP Activities	Yes; Provides opportunities for interested parties and stakeholders to give input and comments on SWMP activities.
	1.6.2 - Community Storm Water Initiatives	Yes; Support and participate in local and regional storm water management initiatives.
	1.6.3 - Open Space Acquisition	Yes; Sets aside permanent open space for public benefits, including water quality protection.
	1.6.4 - Household Hazardous Waste Collection	Yes; Collects household hazardous waste (non-commercial) and properly disposes of them at designated locations. Reduces illicit discharges with the incentive of a free service to the public.
	1.6.5 - Volunteer Participation Projects	Yes; Provides a variety of opportunities for community volunteer groups or individuals to participate in the implementation of SWMP control measures.
	1.6.6 - Keep Austin Beautiful	Yes; Implements various environmental and conservation programs.

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No, and explain.)
2.3 - IDDE BMPs	2.3.1 - County IDDE Response Programs	Yes; Program to receive, respond to, and investigate illicit discharges and illegal dumping until compliance has been achieved, and the source of the illicit discharge has been eliminated.
	2.3.2 - On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Permit Program	Yes; Program to review, permit, and inspect existing and new OSSF systems in the county MS4.
	2.3.3 - County-Wide Environmental Committee (CWEC)	Yes; Coordinates and supports joint local efforts to identify, enforce, and abate illegal dumping, as well as other types of illicit discharges and environmental crimes enforcement.
	2.3.4 - Regional Environmental Task Force (RETF)	Yes; Provides technical expertise, certified environmental law training, and assistance with case investigation and prosecution.
	2.3.5 - TCESD Spill Response Program	Yes; Program to respond, contain, and clean up (remediate) spills or illegal dumping of hazardous and toxic materials in and affecting the County properties and R.O.W.
	2.3.6 - Nuisance Abatement Program	Yes; Program to investigate and enforce public nuisance complaints on private property in the County MS4, including junked vehicles.
	2.3.7 - Auto Salvage Yard Program	Yes; Program to perform industrial inspections and complaint investigations of auto wrecking & salvage yards, junkyards.
	2.3.8 - Industrial Site Monitoring and Outreach	Yes; Program to monitor industrial activities in the MS4 for compliance with applicable TPDES regulations, illicit discharge prohibitions, and state industrial/municipal solid waste management requirements.
	2.3.9 - MS4 Map	Yes; Program to maintain and update a map of the County MS4 drainage system.

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No, and explain.)
3.3 - Construction and Post-Construction BMPs	3.3.1 - Environmental Review Program	Yes; Program which reviews development permit applications for potential water quality impacts to ensure compliance with construction and post-construction County Code requirements.
	3.3.2 - Environmental Inspection Program	Yes; Program to evaluate construction sites in the County MS4 to ensure compliance with County Code requirements and approved construction plans.
	3.3.3 - Employee Storm Water Certification and Training	Yes; Ensures staff whose primary job duties are related to implementing the construction storm water Code requirements are knowledgeable, trained, or certified to conduct these activities.
	3.3.4 - Development Complaint Hotline	Yes; Hotline for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public regarding stormwater issues for follow-up.
	3.3.5 - Permanent Water Quality BMP Permit and Inspection Program	Yes; Program to ensure long-term operation and maintenance of privately-owned permanent water quality BMPs (structural controls) completed in the County MS4 as part of the TNR development permit process.
	3.3.6 - Development Permit Approval for MS4 Construction Activities	Yes; Processes, reviews, and approves development permits for all construction activities in the County MS4. Environmental review and permit screening processes for construction and post-construction are incorporated into this process.
5.3 – Pollution Prevention for County Ops BMPs	5.3.1 - Environmental, Health & Safety (EHS) Program for County Facilities	Yes; EHS program for County park/preserve, road, fleet O&M facilities and activities in the County MS4 by preventing and reducing pollutant runoff from County operation and maintenance (O&M) activities and County-owned areas in the MS4.

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No, and explain.)
	5.3.2 - Employee Storm Water Pollution Prevention (SWP3) Training	Yes; Training program for TNR and County employees responsible for implementing the storm water pollution prevention and good housekeeping BMPs.
	5.3.3 – Pesticide Applicator Licensing	Yes; Provides training required by the Texas Structural Pest Control Service (SPCS) for employees performing pesticide application ensuring proper application and reducing misapplication discharges.
	5.3.4 - County Pond Inspection and Maintenance Program	Yes; Program to inspect and maintain all County-owned and operated structural storm water controls, using County staff and contracted services.
	5.3.5 - Facility Waste Management and Disposal	Yes; Program to ensure that wastes removed from the County MS4 facilities are handled, recycled, or disposed of in accordance with 30 TAC Chapters 330 or 335, as applicable, and the Travis County Waste Management Policy.
	5.3.6 - Roadside Litter Abatement	Yes; Program to respond, remove, and properly dispose of solid wastes dumped on county roadsides, including trash, debris, brush, and household items.
	5.3.7 – ESC BMPs for Maintenance Construction	Yes; Program to implement ESC measures and related storm water BMPs for TNR road, drainage, and park maintenance construction activities.
	5.3.8 - Closed Landfill Management	Yes; Program to monitor closed solid waste landfills and prevent the release of leachate.
7.3 – County Construction Activity BMPs	7.3.1 - CIP Environmental Review Program	Yes; Program to review and approve all County construction activities under this MCM implementing SWP3s to ensure compliance, as well as review and approve applicable post-construction BMPs.

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No, and explain.)
	7.3.2 - CIP Environmental Inspection Program	Yes; Program to inspect and audit County construction activities implementing SWP3s to ensure compliance, as well as inspect post-construction BMPs for conformance with designed construction plans.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation. Use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 2 in instructions**):

MCM	BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1.3.1	Grow Green Program	Educational events and number of distribution of materials	4	Events	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			324	Program contacts	
			2,268	Contact hours	
			20,000	Fact Sheets distributed	
			6,000	Adaptive Plant Guides distributed	

1.3.2	Education Activities - Adult	Educational events and number of distribution of materials	128	Events	<i>No</i> ; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			4,922	Program contacts	
			10,252	Contact hours	
1.3.3	Education Activities - Children	Educational events and number of distribution of materials	32	Events	<i>No</i> ; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			2,721	Program contacts	
			5926	Contact hours	
1.3.4	On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Outreach	Web page visits and document downloads	8,667	Web page hits	<i>No</i> ; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
1.3.5	Watershed and Creek Crossing Signs	Develop Plan for watershed signs at 8 locations and creek crossing	Completed	Plan document	<i>No</i> ; though this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants,

		signs at 8 locations			educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollutants by awareness
1.3.6	Construction Outreach	Development permit checklists	186	Nonresidential Permit Checklists	Yes; by having requirements, projects must consider ESC installation plans as part of their design
			2012	Residential Permit checklists	Yes; by having requirements, projects must consider ESC installation plans as part of their design
		Guidance documents	6	Available Documents	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
		Pre-development meetings	15	Meetings Held	Yes; projects may be evaluated for ESC and WQ concerns and directed on how to protect water quality

		Pre-construction Meetings	23	Meetings Held	Yes; establishes and confirms ESC are in place and rules will be followed prior to construction
1.3.7	Travis County Television (TCTV)	Water Quality Educational Materials	3,428	Total number of individual long topics broadcast	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			9,286	Total number of individual short public broadcast announcements	
			10:090	Total number of video broadcasts	
			540:03:01	Total hours broadcast	
			93	Number of topic videos shown (titles available upon request)	
			20	Number of public announcements	
1.3.8	SWMP Web Site	Program Webpage	8,924	Website hits	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the

					citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
1.6.1	Public Notice for SWMP Activities	Public notices provided	2	CC Agenda Items	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			0	Newspaper or Media Notices	
			2	Public Meetings	
1.6.2	Community Storm Water Initiatives	Group Participation	10	Meetings Attended	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
1.6.3	Open Space Acquisition	Floodplain buyout	0	Acres acquired	Yes; conserving and preserving land from future development has a direct effect water quality via reduction of pollution in these areas
			\$17,840	In cost	
		Acquisition of BCP land	30.445	Acres acquired	
			937,925.00	In cost	
		Parkland acquisition	146.4	Acres acquired	

			2,055,147.95	In cost	
1.6.4	Household Hazardous Waste Collection	Participation to Support the Household hazardous Waste Program.	\$154,00.53	County financial contribution	Yes; supporting incentives such as these has a direct effect on water quality by mitigating illegal dumping and educating the general public
			5.318	Residents participating	
			372	Outreach events	
			4,436 – County 196,678 – City of Austin	HHWC webpage hits	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
1.6.5	Volunteer Participation Projects	Opportunities for Community Volunteers	1,200	Volunteer Participants	Yes; removal of debris, pollution, from a waterway has a direct effect on water quality
			4	Tons of Trash Removed	
1.6.6	Funding Conservation and Education Programs	Funding to support conservation efforts	\$25,000	County financial contribution – Lake Travis Cleanup	Yes; removal of debris, pollution, from a waterway

					impacts water quality
2.3.1	Illicit Discharge (IDDE) Response Program	Complaint Response	316	"New Cases" opened	Yes; Investigating and regulating illicit discharges has a direct effect on protecting the water quality of our area
			146	Cases Resolved	
		Employee Training	310	Trained in IDDE	
2.3.2	On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF) Program	OSSF Systems	601	Applications Reviewed	Yes; reviewing and inspecting devices to ensure functionality has a direct effect on protecting water quality
			431	Applications Permitted	
			1798	Sites Inspected	
			387	Issued License to Operate	
		Maintenance Contracts	6773	Maintained Contracts Monitored	Yes; ensuring functionality of device has a direct effect on protecting water quality
		OSSF Complaints	87	Complaints investigated	Yes; responding to complaints in a timely manner and addressing issues
80	Cases resolved				

			1	Referred to legal enforcement	has a direct effect on protecting water quality
2.3.3	Travis County Dumping Committee	Meetings and Participation	2	Meetings held	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			2	Activities participated in	
2.3.4	Regional Environmental Task Force	Meetings and Training Efforts	8	Meetings and training sessions	No; this BMP does not result in a direct reduction of pollutants, educating the citizens will potentially reduce pollution by raising awareness
			20	County Employees Trained	
			15	No Dumping Signs distributed for installation	
2.3.5	Spill Response Program	Hazmat Spills and Responses	8	Responses made	Yes; timely response and action prevent major offsite contamination to local water ways and has a direct effect on protecting water quality
			8	Incidents resolved	
			0	Referred to enforcement	

2.3.6	Nuisance Abatement Program	Cases of Illegal waste disposal on private property	101	Responses made	Yes; investigation and identification of illegal dumpers has a direct effect on protecting water quality
			Est.75	Incidents resolved	
			0	Referred to enforcement	
2.3.7	Junkyard & Wrecking & Salvage Yard Program	Complaint Response	1	Responses made	Yes; addressing concerns with junked vehicles can have a direct effect on local water quality with regards to fluid containment
			1	Incidents resolved	
			0	Referred to enforcement	
2.3.8	Industrial Site Monitoring and Outreach	Inventory and monitor	Completed	Annually update inventory	Yes; conducting regular inspections onsite has direct effect on protecting the water quality of our area by proactively addressing issues found
			Completed	All sites inspected annually	
			122	Inspections Completed	
			9	NOVs issued	

		Development Permit Plan Review	0	Industrial Site Plan Reviews completed	Yes; reviewing plans can ensure proper controls are prescribed that can treat water quality needs onsite
		Industrial Site Complaint response	2	Complaints investigated	Yes; conducting follow-up inspections onsite has direct effect on protecting the water quality of our area by ensuring corrective actions are addressed
	2		Cases resolved		
	0		Cases referred to legal enforcement		
2.3.9	MS4 Map	Maintaining the MS4 Map	467	New Drainage structures added to map	No; used more for location determination, MS4 Map does not have direct effect on water quality
			62	New Permanent Water Quality Controls (PWQC) and Detention Ponds	
			4	New Outfalls	

3.3.1	Environmental Review	Review of development permit application and plats on nonresidential (NRES) and residential (RES) sites in Travis County	0 reviewed	Plat/Prelim in COA ETJ	Yes; reviewing plans can have a direct effect on water quality by ensuring proper controls are prescribed that can treat water quality needs onsite
			15 reviewed 17 reviews	Subdivisions in COA ETJ	
			127 reviewed 133 reviews	NRES Site Plans in COA ETJ	
			23 reviewed 27 reviews	RES Site Plans in COA ETJ	
			54 reviewed 79 reviews	Plats/Prelims	
			27 reviewed 45 reviews	Subdivisions	
			100 reviewed 191 reviews	NRES Site Plans	
			19 reviewed 31 reviews	Residential site plans	
3.3.2	Environmental Inspection	Inspections NRES and RES sites in Travis County	20 sites 20 inspections	NRES sites in COA ETJ	Yes; by conducting regular compliance inspections, County inspectors have a direct effect on protecting the quality of water in Travis County by ensuring
			0 sites 0 inspections	Subdivisions in COA ETJ	
			7 sites 7 inspections	RES sites inspected in COA ETJ	

			74 sites 761 inspections	NRES sites NON COA ETJ	corrective actions identified are addressed in timely manner
			69 sites 31 inspections	Subdivisions NON COA ETJ	
			19 sites 161 inspections	RES sites NON COA ETJ	
			15	Projects issued a Certificate of Compliance	
3.3.3	Storm Water Training and Certification	Training and certification employees based on construction storm water responsibilities	8	Employees w ENV certifications	Yes; the more experience and training inspectors receive, the more effective and efficient they are protecting water quality as inspectors
			2	Training Sessions	
			12	Employees Trained	
3.3.4	Environmental Complaint Hotline	Phone hotline for public inquiries concerning environmental issues	58	Inquires received	Yes; by providing timely response to complaints, pollution or other environmental impacts are lessened
			58	Responses provided	

			19	Referral made	
3.3.5	Development Permit Approval Activities	Approval of development permit applications for all construction activities in the MS4	116	Nonresidential (NRES) sites approved (COA ETJ)	Yes; by having a permit approval process, plans are checked for proper ESC plans prior to commencement of activities onsite which will have a direct effect on protecting water quality
			70	NRES site plans approved (NON COA ETJ)	
			999	Residential (RES) permits approved (COA ETJ)	
			1013	RES permits approved (NON COA ETJ)	
4.3.1	Permanent Water Quality Control (PWQC) Program	Issue PWQC Maintenance Permits	6	New PWQC permits issued	No; tracks and provides information on the functionality and maintenance needs to the control
			15	Total Active PWQC permits	
			0	Total PWQC permits renewed	

4.3.1	Permanent Water Quality Control (PWQC) Program	Maintain inventory and database	62	New PWQC and Detention Pond	No; tracks and provides location information to public and inspection personnel
		Inspection and enforcement	273	Structures Inspected	Yes; By making regular inspection, controls are ensured to be operating as designing with has a direct effect on treating water quality needs from developed areas
			363	Inspections Completed	
			1	Maintenance NOV's issued	
			0	Referred to legal for enforcement	
		PWQC Maintenance plans	6	New plans field in Property Records at Clerk's Office	No; provides information on the functionality and maintenance needs to the control
		Number of PWQC construction plan reviews by PWQC group	<i>*included in 3.3.1 above for this reporting year</i>	Private Sites	Yes; controls are reviewed to ensure that treatment of water quality is achieved under local regulation
			<i>*included in 3.3.1 above</i>	Public Sites	

			<i>for this reporting year</i>		
5.3.1	EHS Program for County Facilities	EHS Inspection and Monitoring	7	County Facilities inspected	Yes; inspecting internal sites and ensuring SOPs are being properly followed has a direct effect on water quality coming off these sites
			14	Total EHS inspections completed	
			13	Total SPCC inspections completed	
		Completed	Confirm EHS Inventory		
5.3.2	Employee Storm Water Training	for TNR O&M employees	310	Employees trained	Yes; raising awareness of staff has a direct effect on reducing pollution events from County activities
			5	Training events held	
5.3.3	Certified Applicator Licensing	Non-Commercial Certified Applicator Licensing for TNR O&M employees	14	Employees Certified	Yes; ensuring staff are properly training prevents incidental discharges related to work activity
			14	Certifications Renewed	
5.3.4	County Pond Inspection and	Inspection & maintenance program for	125	Ponds and BMPs inspected	Yes; ensuring functionality of control has a

	Maintenance Program	County-owned structures	378	Ponds and BMPs maintained	direct effect on protecting water quality
5.3.5	Facility Waste Management	Management and proper disposal or recycling of materials generated or collected by TNR	5,645	Gallons of waste oil	Yes; properly disposing of materials from County activities has a direct effect on protecting water quality
			22	Drums of oil filters	
			130	Gallons of antifreeze	
			233,276	Pounds of tires	
			839	Lead-acid batteries	
			312	Cubic Yards of solid waste disposed (Eastside)	
			156	Cubic Yards of solid waste disposed (Westside)	
5.3.6	Roadside Litter Abatement	Collected from County owned property and ROW areas	4,207.07	Miles of ROW cleaned	Yes; removing litter and illegal dumping from the ROW has a direct effect on protecting water quality
			2,154	Work orders performed	

			13,547	Cubic yards disposed of at the landfill	
5.3.7	ESC BMPs for Maintenance Construction	R&B maintenance and construction activities	28	Work orders performed in Program 4105 – Reconstruction	Yes; using ESC in conjunction with maintenance efforts has a direct effect on protecting water quality on R&B projects
			57	Work orders performed in Program 4111 “Level-up”	
			264	work orders performed in Program 4120 “Drainage”	
			5	Projects inspected by ENV	
			5	Inspections completed by ENV	
5.3.8	Closed Landfill Management	Managing the Hwy 290 East Closed Landfill	Completed	Prepare and submit Annual Landfill Status Report	Yes; properly managing the landfill has a direct effect on protecting water

			7,732,671	Total gallons of leachate disposed of to the Austin wastewater system	quality by ensuring proper functionality of systems
7.3.1	CIP Environmental Review Program	ESC, SWP3 and PWQC review of CIP plans and reports	25	CIPs reviewed for SWP3 and PWQC	Yes; reviewing plans can ensure proper controls are prescribed that can treat water quality needs onsite during construction
			45	Number of ENV Reviews Completed	
			10	CIPs approved	
			5	CIPs authorized by County CSN	
			62.82	Disturbed acres for CIPs authorized by County CSN	
7.3.2	CIP Environmental Inspection Program	ESC and SWP3 Monitoring and Inspection CIPs	130	SWP3 Inspection reports completed	Yes; conducting regular inspections ensures that ESC and SWP3 are being properly implemented onsite which helps to prevent water quality impacts offsite
			82	3 rd party SWP3 reports conducted	
			5	CIPs completed construction sites	

			19	PWQC and detention ponds accepted for maintenance	No; inventory of internal structures accepted by TNR for internal maintenance

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals (**see Example 3 in instructions**):

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s)	Explain progress toward goal or how goal was achieved. If goal was not accomplished, please explain.
1.3.5	Develop plan for sign installation locations	Completed – plan for 8 watershed locations and 8 creek crossing installation locations has been developed
1.6.6	Provide funding to support conservation efforts in Travis County	Completed – Travis County contributed \$25,000 to the Lake Travis Cleanup effort that removed 4 tons of trash from the lake
2.3.8	Complete Annual Update to Inventory	Completed – Industrial site list is verified and updated using permit information found on the TCEQ central registry
2.3.8	Complete an Annual inspection on all Industrial Sites	Completed - 75 Industrial Sites in current inventory – 122 inspections conducted this term

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted) to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

The Travis County MS4 began a surface water quality monitoring (SWQM) program in September, 2014. Twelve sites in the unincorporated area are now monitored routinely. Our program is intended to complement the City of Austin’s Environmental Integrity Index (EII) that involves SWQM in the city and its fringes. In other words, if Austin is monitoring certain watersheds, Travis County does not. Similar to Austin, we monitor one-half of our sites quarterly in even-numbered fiscal years and the remaining one-half in odd-numbered fiscal years.

Travis County SWQM Sites	
FY 2019 Sites (ended 12/31/19)	FY 2020 Sites (ends 12/31/2020)
Bee Creek (<i>trib. of Lake Travis</i>) at Bee Creek Rd	Deer Creek at Running Deer Road (<i>trib. Of Lake Austin</i>)
Hamilton Creek (<i>trib. of Pedernales River</i>) upstream from Hamilton Pool	Bear Creek (<i>trib. of Lake Austin</i>) at Fritz Hughes Road
Maha Creek at Maha Loop	Cow Creek at Singleton Bend Road
Maha Creek at Linden Road	Sandy Creek at Nameless Road
Wilbarger Creek at Bitting School Rd	E Fork, Lick Creek at Pedernales Canyon Trail
Wilbarger Creek at Gregg Lane	W Fork, Lick Creek at Pedernales Canyon Trail

The conventional pollutants are sampled and preserved in accordance with typical SWQM methods used by TCEQ. Additionally, toxins in sediment were collected from five of these locations during the reporting period. The analyses are conducted and reported by NELAC-certified laboratories.

Presently, from these particular monitoring efforts, there are insufficient data to determine if the SWMP is successful in reducing pollutants to MEP. Over time, this may prove possible. However, the ongoing data collection is helpful in:

- verifying toxins such as hazardous metals or organic constituents are not present in these waterways;
- providing a basis for placing priorities for inspection or further investigation within a watershed; and
- understanding if pollutant sources discharging to impaired assessment units (AUs) are contributing a load associated with the pollutant of concern.

D. Impaired Waterbodies

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d). List any newly-identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.

The approved Travis County SWMP describes the five impaired waterbodies and their watershed areas that are located within the Travis County MS4, and the targeted Controls/BMPs Travis County is implementing to address discharges to these impaired watershed areas. These five impaired areas include portions of two watershed areas with an approved TMDL: Gilleland Creek and Walnut Creek (SWMP Section 9.1); and three watershed areas without an approved TMDL: Bull Creek, Lake Austin, and Slaughter Creek watersheds (SWMP Section 9.2).

No receiving water body in the Travis County MS4 was newly listed as impaired on the EPA-approved 303(d) List during the end of Year 5 and second permit term and through Year 1 of the new third permit term. In addition, no EPA-approved TMDLs have been established during the same time frame either.

2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.

Bacteria - Gilleland Creek. (*TMDL and I-Plan Approved to Address E. coli*).

The following targeted Controls/BMPs and Measurable Goals were successfully implemented as summarized below.

BMP	Major Tasks	Measurable Goals	Year 1 Results (FY19)
Increase Required Riparian Setbacks from New Development	Increase waterway setbacks in Gilleland Creek watershed to prevent an increase in bacteria load associated with new development. Setbacks are implemented from the centerline of each minor, intermediate, and major waterway within the Gilleland Creek watershed in Travis County jurisdiction.	No. of Development Applications and linear feet of setback area on each project/permit subject to waterway setback approved in the Travis County Gilleland Creek watershed	0
Riparian Setback Land Acquisition	Addressing TMDL I-Plan Management Measure 2, Travis County Parks Division acquired stream corridor for open space and parks along Gilleland Creek.	Acres of stream area acquired	55
Public Outreach on Pet Waste	Support existing initiatives under the Gilleland Creek TMDL I-Plan to educate the public on bagging and disposing of pet waste by placement of bags near walking trail in the Travis County NE Metro Park	Estimated number of bags dispensed to public	4,500
Incentivize OSSF Improvements OSSF and SWMP Program	Seek Commissioners Court approval of OSSF application fee waiver for proactive OSSF repairs proposed before being cited for violations.	No. of repairs completed after providing a fee waiver	0
Public Outreach	Update Website with incentive information and send targeted mail outs (<i>each year in years 2 – 5 to approximately 25% of OSSF owners in the watershed</i>) to describe fee waiver initiative and City of Austin cutover initiative.	No. of mail outs sent	0*
Notification of Illicit Discharges	Upon discovery of a sewage overflow, Travis County staff trained to recognize illicit discharges will notify the owner of the wastewater collection system (<i>or the OSSF Authorized Agent, if applicable</i>) of the discharge.	No. of notifications	0

* Reported to be infeasible by OSSF group. Program centered on website information and inspector complaint response information coordination.

Bacteria – Walnut Creek. (TMDL and I-Plan Approved to Address E. coli)

The following targeted Controls/BMPs and Measurable Goals were successfully implemented as summarized below.

BMP	Major Tasks	Measurable Goals	Year 1 Results (FY19)
Incentivize OSSF Improvements	Seek Commissioners Court approval of OSSF application fee waiver for proactive OSSF repairs proposed before being cited for violations.	No. of repairs completed after providing a fee waiver	0
Public Outreach	Update Website with incentive information and send a targeted mail out to OSSF owners in the watershed to describe fee waiver initiative and City of Austin cutover initiative.	No. of mail outs sent	0*
Privately Owned Permanent BMP Inspection	Travis County will implement a focused assessment of 22 PWQC structures within its jurisdiction in the Walnut Creek watershed to determine if these structures (generally, flood detention and water quality treatment impoundments) are properly operated and maintained. When not functioning or maintained properly in accordance with their design, Travis County will seek corrective action and compliance from the owner.	No. of inspections completed, NOVs issued and referred enforcement cases completed (<i>civil enforcement in the courts</i>)	17 inspections 0 NOVs issued 0 referred
Detection of Illicit Commercial/Industrial Discharges	Travis County will implement a focused assessment of commercial and industrial facilities within its jurisdiction in the Walnut Creek watershed to determine if inappropriate sanitary waste management results in illicit discharges to its MS4.	No. inspections; Corrective Actions accomplished	15 inspections; 1 corrective action accomplished
Construction Site Sanitary Waste Management	Travis County will update its construction site inspection practices to evaluate sanitary waste management practices by construction site owners/operators	No. inspections; Corrective Actions accomplished	0 inspections

Increase Required Riparian Setbacks from New Development	Increase waterway setbacks in Walnut Creek watershed to prevent an increase in bacteria load associated with new development. Setbacks are implemented from the centerline of each minor, intermediate, and major waterway within the Walnut Creek watershed in Travis County jurisdiction.	No. of Development Applications and linear feet of setback area on each project/permit in the Walnut Creek Travis County watershed area which is subject to the waterway setback.	0 applications
--	---	---	----------------

* Reported to be infeasible by OSSF group. Program centered on website information and inspector complaint response information coordination.

3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.

Implementation thus far has occurred per the approved I-Plan for the impaired waterbody within Travis County that has an approved TMDL. Thus far Riparian Setback Land Acquisition, Public Outreach on Pet Waste and Privately Owned Permanent BMP Inspection and Construction Site Sanitary Waste Management have proven to be the most achievable target control. To date, no opportunities to utilize the other target controls identified have presented themselves.

4. Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:

Benchmark Parameter <i>(Ex: Total Suspended Solids)</i>	Benchmark Value	Description of additional sampling or other assessment activities	Year(s) conducted
E. coli	126 cfu/100 mL geometric mean 399 cfu/100 mL single sample	Gilleland had an overall geometric mean of 152.3. With sample locations ranging from 98.29 at FM973, to 217.36 at West Parsons. The 2018 Texas Integrated Report shows geometric means ranging from 91.3 between Taylor Lane and Old Hwy 20, to 396.8 between Cameron Ln and the spring source. Based this analysis, numbers levels are decreasing/leveling off	2018 and 2019; tabulated annually

5. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:

Benchmark Parameter	Selected BMP	Contribution to achieving Benchmark
E. coli	Increase Required Riparian Setbacks from New Development	No applicable development applications have been received
E. coli	Riparian Setback Land Acquisition	Restricts amount of developable land along waterway that could eventually lead to more pollution

E. coli	Public Outreach on Pet Waste	Reduces potential for discarded pet waste to enter waterway
E. coli	Incentivize OSSF Improvements	No applicable development applications have been received
E. coli	Public Outreach on OSSF repair and permitting incentives	Program centered on website information and inspector complaint response information coordination.
E. coli	Notification of Illicit Discharges	No notifications or identifications of Illicit Discharges have been identified.
E. coli	Privately Owned Permanent BMP Inspection	Effective identifying issues with water quality structures and ensuring functionality is achieved
E. coli	Detection of Illicit Commercial/Industrial Discharges	Effective in identifying corrective action issues related detection of illicit commercial/industrial discharges
E. coli	Construction Site Sanitary Waste Management	Effective in identifying corrective action issues related to waste management issues onsite

6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria:

Description of bacteria-focused BMP	Comments/Discussion
Increase Required Riparian Setbacks from New Development	No applications have been received for development that would be affected by the setback in this area of the County
Riparian Setback Land Acquisition	Effectively in limiting future development along the Gilleland Creek Corridor
Public Outreach on Pet Waste	Only utilized in Travis County properties along Gilleland Creek; NE Metro Park
Incentivize OSSF Improvements	Underutilized by county residents. No applications have been received thus far
Public Outreach on OSSF repair and permitting incentives	Program centered on website information and inspector complaint response information coordination
Notification of Illicit Discharges	No reports have been received via the ENV hotline or email address for this area of the County
Privately Owned Permanent BMP Inspection	Extremely effective. Identified issues at majority of sites and have worked to regain functionality through enforcement action
Detection of Illicit Commercial/Industrial Discharges	Annual inspections are conducted at identified industrial sites. 15 inspections conducted this term. 1 corrective action item accomplished
Construction Site Sanitary Waste Management	Focused on addressing issues related to staging and managing toilets onsite

7. Assess the progress to determine BMP’s effectiveness in achieving the benchmark.

For example, the MS4 may use the following benchmark indicators:

- number of sources identified or eliminated;
- number of illegal dumping;
- increase in illegal dumping reported;
- number of educational opportunities conducted;
- reductions in sanitary sewer flows (SSOs); /or
- increase in illegal discharge detection through dry screening.

Benchmark Indicator	Description/Comments
Estimated number of bags dispensed to public	4,500 pet waste disposal bags distributed
Riparian Setback Land Acquisition	55 acres acquired
No. of inspections completed on PWQC	17 inspections conducted
Construction Site Sanitary Waste Management	ENV inspections take into account waste management onsite; County is lead on residential sites in this area and defers all other inspections to City of Austin via Interlocal agreement.
Detection of Illicit Commercial/Industrial Discharge	15 inspections conducted; 1 corrective action accomplished

E. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

MCM(s)	BMP	Stormwater Activity	Description/Comments
N/A			

F. SWMP Modifications

1. The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.

Yes No

2. Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ's review.

Yes No

If "Yes," report on changes made to measurable goals and BMPs:

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s) or BMP(s)	Implemented or Proposed Changes (Submit NOC as needed)
N/A		

Note: If changes include additions or substitutions of BMPs, include a written analysis explaining why the original BMP is ineffective or not feasible, and why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the goals of the original BMP.

3. Explain additional changes or proposed changes not previously mentioned (i.e. dates, contacts, procedures, annexation of land, etc.).

G. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

BMP	Description	Implementation Schedule (start date, etc.)	Status/Completion Date (completed, in progress, not started)
N/A			

H. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?

Yes No

If "Yes," provide the name(s) of other entities and an explanation of their responsibilities (add more spaces or pages if needed):

Name and Explanation: The City of Austin

The City performs construction and post-construction review and inspection requirements (*MCMs 3 and 4*) in the Austin 5-mile Extra-Territorial Jurisdiction (*ETJ*) for subdivision construction under an existing jointly-adopted County Code Chapter 30 and One-Stop Shop Permit Center. Austin also performs these activities on non-subdivision construction sites in their ETJ as part of their TPDES Phase I storm water program. The City performs limited IDDE spill response in the ETJ and inspection of all commercial post-construction BMPs and most residential BMP post-construction BMPs in their ETJ. An Interlocal Agreement (*ILA*) approved in 2011 between Travis County and City of Austin for comprehensive SWMP coordination describes joint roles and responsibilities in the Austin ETJ.

Name and Explanation: The Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA)

LCRA performs joint construction and post-construction plan review requirements (MCM 3 and 4) with Travis County in the Highland Lakes Watershed Ordinance area in western Travis County under a 2010 Interlocal Agreement between the County and LCRA.

Travis County performs the construction inspection component in this area and LCRA is responsible for the post-construction BMP inspections.

Name and Explanation: The TCEQ Edwards Aquifer Protection Program

The TCEQ, Region 11, performs construction and post-construction review and inspection requirements (MCM 3 and 4) on construction projects in the Barton Springs Segment of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and Edwards Aquifer Northern Segment. This program also performs these requirements on construction sites 5 acres and greater in the Barton Springs Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone.

2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?

Yes No

2.b. If "yes," is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?

Yes No

If "Yes," list all associated authorization numbers, permittee names, and SWMP responsibilities of each member (add additional spaces or pages if needed):

Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____

I. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators):

3056

2a. Does the permittee utilize the optional seventh MCM related to construction?

Yes No

2b. If "yes," then provide the following information for this permit year:

The number of municipal construction activities authorized under this general permit	
The total number of acres disturbed for municipal construction projects	62.82

Note: Though the seventh MCM is optional, implementation must be requested on the NOI or on a NOC and approved by the TCEQ.

J. Certification

If this is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees, each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports).

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed): Cynthia C. McDonald

Title: County Executive, Transportation & Natural Resources Dept. (TNR)

Signature:  Date: 03-27-2020

Name of MS4: Travis County

If you have questions on how to fill out this form or about the Stormwater Permitting program, please contact us at 512-239-4671. Individuals are entitled to request and review their personal information that the agency gathers on its forms. They may also have any errors in their information corrected. To review such information, contact us at 512-239-3282.