


INVESTMENT OVERVIEW

FY 2015 Community Impact Report

Travis County Health and Human Services & Veterans Service
Research & Planning Division



FEBRUARY 2016

INVESTMENT OVERVIEW

FY 2015 Community Impact Report

Travis County Health and Human Services & Veterans Service
Research & Planning Division

County Executive

Sherri E. Fleming

Project Leads

Courtney Bissonnet Lucas

Lori Axler Miranda

Research & Planning Division

Lawrence Lyman, Division Director

Korey Darling, Planning Manager

Lori Axler Miranda

Courtney Bissonnet Lucas

Tara Carmean


Rachel Coff

Jenny Horton

Brook Son

Questions or Comments?

For questions or for more information, please contact the Research & Planning Division at HHS_R&P@traviscountytexas.gov.



TRAVIS COUNTY

HEALTH and HUMAN SERVICES & VETERANS SERVICE

PURPOSE

Who we are:

A Department of Travis County that serves the community under the guidance of the Commissioner's Court

What we do:

Address community needs through internal and external investments and services

What we strive to accomplish:

Maximize quality of life for all people in Travis County

- Protect vulnerable populations
- Invest in social and economic well-being
- Promote healthy living: physical, behavioral, and environmental
- Build a shared understanding of our community

VALUES

We value helping people.

- We provide accessible, person-centered services with respect and care.
- We work to empower people through our service to them, always honoring the strengths and differences of the individuals and families of Travis County.

We value the accountability and integrity of our staff.

- We value the diversity of our staff and the experience each of us brings to TCHHS/VS.
- We honor our collective service to the public, including the careful stewardship of public funds.
- We value the quality services we provide to the community in a spirit of shared responsibility.

We value cooperation and collaboration in the community at large and within TCHHS/VS.

- We are interdependent and connected.
- We treat one another with respect and value effective communication and teamwork.
- We honor our partners in the community and engage with them to more efficiently and effectively serve our clients.

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	5
Appendix A.....	17
Appendix B.....	18
Endnotes.....	20

Introduction

The Travis County Commissioners Court, through Travis County Health and Human Services & Veterans Service Department (TCHHS/VS), annually invests nearly \$17.5 million in community-based social service programs. These Department investments align with and supplement our direct services to meet the critical needs of local residents. Community-based organizations are frequently geographically and culturally embedded in the communities they serve and are often best positioned to provide needed services.

HIGHLIGHTS OF COMMUNITY CONDITIONS

Community conditions impact social service providers and the individuals they serve. Economics, demographics, as well as social structures and systems, all influence the level of need within a community and the resources available to successfully address community needs. Community conditions help determine service delivery approaches that are most effective in addressing community needs and issues. These conditions also inform stakeholders of progress toward community goals and a particular program's contributions in advancing those goals.

Most social service programs described in this report serve Travis County residents who are in or near poverty. Some programs assist vulnerable populations, such as those experiencing abuse and neglect, irrespective of their income. Current conditions elevate the need for social services for Travis County residents:

- The Travis County population continues to grow rapidly. According to the most recent U.S. Census Bureau population estimates available, 1,151,145 people lived in Travis County in 2014. The county has grown by 28% over the past 10 years (since 2005), reflecting the addition of 249,960 residents. This is faster than the rate of growth in the state overall (Texas grew 18% between 2005 and 2014). The county population in areas outside the City of Austin has grown even more rapidly, up 39% since 2005. In 2014, more than one-quarter of county residents (26% or 298,642 people) lived in a city or village other than Austin or in an unincorporated area, compared with 24% of residents (215,606 people) in 2005. Still, the trend observed in the early- and mid-2000s of an increasingly higher share of county residents living outside of the City of Austin appears to be leveling out. The residential split has been relatively stable, with 74% of the county population inside of Austin and 26% of the county population outside of Austin since 2008.¹
- The most recent poverty data was collected in 2014. These data estimate that about 17% of Travis County residents (193,753 people) lived in poverty.² Looking at a five-year trend, the 2014 poverty rate is not statistically different from the 2013 rate of 16% but reflects a decrease in poverty compared to the other previous years (18% in 2012 and 2011 and 19% in 2010).³

- The poverty rate among children is higher than the overall poverty rate for Travis County. 2014 data indicate that 24% of children under age 18 (63,659 children) live in poverty.⁴
- In June 2015, there were 49,701 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) cases in Travis County with 111,614 (about 10% of all Travis County residents) receiving benefits.^{5,6} The 2015 average SNAP monthly enrollment of 50,497 (based on half-year data) reflects an increase in cases compared to the two prior years (47,359 in 2014 and 48,302 in 2013) and a small decrease from a six-year high of 51,692 in 2012.⁷
- In 2014, nearly 160,000 households in Travis County experienced a housing cost burden, which is defined as spending 30% or more of household income on housing costs; for approximately 72,000 of those households, it was a severe housing cost burden (i.e. spending 50% or more on housing costs).^{8,9} Renters were more likely to be cost burdened than owners.^{10,11}
- The 2015 Austin/Travis County Point-In-Time Count, conducted on January 23, 2015, provided a point-in-time snapshot of the Austin area homeless population, at a total of 1,877 homeless individuals.¹² Sixty-four percent (1,210) of these individuals were sheltered at the time of the count and 36% (667) were unsheltered.¹³
- National, state, and local unemployment rates follow an improving trend line, with Travis County consistently outperforming the state and nation. The unemployment rate for Travis County began the year at 3.7% in January 2015 but dropped to 3.2% in November.¹⁴ When comparing November unemployment rates for the past several years, 2015 had the lowest unemployment rate for Travis County since 2000 (2.7% in November 2000).¹⁵ Similarly, the November unemployment rate for Travis County was lower than the state (4.5%) and nation (4.8%).¹⁶
- In 2014, 17% of the Travis County population (or 189,247 people) lacked health insurance.¹⁷ Travis County's proportion of uninsured residents was higher than that of the U.S. (12%) but lower than that of Texas (19%).¹⁸
- National figures indicate that 20%¹⁹ of children and 19%²⁰ of adults have some type of diagnosable mental health or addictive disorder/any mental illness. Applying these rates to the Travis County population,²¹ an estimated 53,000 children and 150,000 adults were living with some type of mental illness in 2014.
- The older adult population in Travis County has increased in number and share during recent years and is projected to comprise a larger percentage of the total population in the coming decades. In 2014, there were 97,149 older adults (aged 65 and older) living in Travis County, comprising 8.4% of the population;²² by 2020, a projected 125,024 older adults will make up 10.4% of the county population.²³

Client Demographics

Service providers collected client demographic data, when possible.^a The table below summarizes the demographics for clients served by the social service programs included in this report.^b

Client Demographics, FY 2015		
<i>Demographic Category</i>	<i>Number of Clients</i>	<i>Percent of Clients</i>
Gender		
Female	53,186	54%
Male	44,829	45%
Unknown	1,052	1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>99,067</i>	<i>100%</i>
Ethnicity*		
Hispanic or Latino	45,265	46%
Not Hispanic or Latino	51,259	52%
Unknown	2,383	2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>98,907</i>	<i>100%</i>
Race		
American Indian and Alaska Native	438	0.4%
Asian	1,551	2%
Black or African American	21,583	22%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	171	0.2%
White	43,286	44%
Some other race	26,896	27%
Two or more races	1,882	2%
Unknown	3,100	3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>98,907</i>	<i>100%</i>
Age		
Under 5	9,573	10%
5 to 9	14,824	15%
10 to 14	14,381	15%
15 to 17	5,759	6%

a Client demographic data may be unreported for reasons such as protection of client privacy and difficulty obtaining data (e.g., due to services delivered via outreach or at large-scale events). Clients enrolled in programs that do not collect income information were classified as “unknown” in the income level category.

b Please note that clients participating in more than one program are counted multiple times in the summary of contracted service providers’ data. Variation in the number of clients reported across demographic categories is due to minor differences in the client population reflected in each category.

Client Demographics, FY 2015

18 to 24	6,821	7%
25 to 39	16,352	17%
40 to 59	17,513	18%
60 to 74	6,076	6%
75 and over	2,657	3%
Unknown	5,108	5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>99,064</i>	<i>100%</i>
Income**		
<50% of Federal Poverty Income Guidelines (FPIG)	20,364	21%
50% to 100% of FPIG	17,034	17%
101% to 150% of FPIG	9,235	9%
151% to 200% of FPIG	5,818	6%
>200% of FPIG	4,766	5%
Unknown	41,690	42%
<i>Total</i>	<i>98,907</i>	<i>100%</i>


*For the purposes of tracking reported client data, TCHHS/VS has adopted demographic categories used by the U.S. Census Bureau. The U.S. Census Bureau considers race and Hispanic origin to be two separate and distinct concepts. Hispanics and Latinos may be of any race. Therefore, clients reporting their race, such as White or Black or African American, may also be Hispanic or Latino. Programs that collect race and ethnicity data in a single demographic category are encouraged to report Hispanic or Latino clients as "Some other race" in the race category.

**See Appendix A for specific income guideline levels.

Client Location by ZIP Code

When possible, the contracted service providers also documented the ZIP code where clients resided when they entered the program.^c Service providers collected residential information for 98% (98,699 of a total 100,465 clients)^d of all clients, including clients with ZIP codes within Travis County (91%), clients with ZIP codes outside of Travis County (3%), and clients who were homeless at entry into the program (5%);^e the remainder (2%) represent clients with unknown ZIP codes. Of clients with known ZIP codes, 23% of clients resided in the East area (23,149 clients) of Travis County. The Southeast (20% or 20,003) and Northeast (19% or 18,981) areas also had sizeable shares of clients. (See Appendix B for ZIP code classification map.)

Areas of Client Residence, FY 2015



^c Client ZIP code data may be unreported for reasons such as protection of client privacy and difficulty obtaining data (e.g., due to services delivered via outreach or at large-scale events).


^d Client demographic and client ZIP code totals do not match, as there were a small number of programs that collected either demographic or ZIP code data.

^e The number of homeless clients served is likely underrepresented as some agencies reported the last known permanent ZIP code of the client, rather than noting that the client was homeless at entry into the program. At least one agency requires clients to provide a ZIP code to receive services; these clients may meet the definition of homeless but were able to provide a ZIP code at entry into the program.

Investment by Issue Area

The following chart shows the percent of funding devoted to each issue area for the social service contracts included in this report. Public Health contracts accounted for the greatest share of the TCHHS/VS investment reflected in this report, at 31% of the total investment, followed by Behavioral Health contracts (22%). Child and Youth Development (17%) and Workforce Development (15%) contracts also comprised sizeable shares of the total investment.

Investment in Issue Areas for Social Service Contracts, FY 2015



The Department's investments represented varying percentages of each contracted program's total budget. Investment percentages ranged from 0.6% to 100%, constituting an average of 27% of a program's total budget. Actual investment percentages for each social service contract are provided on each program's page.

Please note that the chart above does not represent total TCHHS/VS investments and services. The social service contracts included in this report are a subset of the Department's broader investments of general funds in both purchased and direct services. The Department also makes grant-funded program investments.

FUNDING SUMMARY

The FY 2015 Funding Amount reflects 12-month funding (October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015) unless otherwise noted.

Agency Name	Program Name	FY 2015 Funding Amount
Behavioral Health		\$3,844,843
Austin Child Guidance Center	Children's Outpatient Mental Health & Evaluation Services	\$101,343
Austin Independent School District	Family Resource Center	\$100,000 ⁱ
Austin Travis County Integral Care	Main Mental Health Interlocal	\$1,453,014
Austin Travis County Integral Care	Substance Abuse Managed Services Organization	\$861,799
Austin Travis County Integral Care	System of Care Managed Services Organization	\$675,000
Capital Area Counseling	Low Cost, No Session Limit, Outpatient Counseling	\$17,174
Communities In Schools of Central Texas	Care Coordination Program for Youth and Family Assessment Center	\$394,949
LifeWorks	Counseling	\$94,585
Out Youth	Youth Development	\$12,880
Workers Assistance Program, Inc.	Youth Advocacy, Creating Lasting Family Connections	\$43,503
YWCA Greater Austin	YW Counseling & Referral Center	\$90,596
Child and Youth Development		\$3,016,350
African American Youth Harvest Foundation, Inc.	African American Youth Resource Center and Conferences	\$282,000
Any Baby Can, Inc.	Early Childhood Intervention Services	\$51,170
Any Baby Can, Inc.	Professional Early Childhood Services	\$154,000
Austin Child Guidance Center	Infant and Early Childhood Mental Health Project	\$58,000
Austin Community College District	Teacher and Director TRAC	\$52,000

Agency Name	Program Name	FY 2015 Funding Amount
Austin Independent School District	Travis County Collaborative Afterschool Program	\$544,800
AVANCE	Parent-Child Education Program	\$95,000
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central Texas	Mentoring	\$62,257
BookSpring	Reading is Fundamental (RIF) Elementary School Program	\$13,126
Boys & Girls Clubs of Austin & Travis County, Inc.	GREAT Futures Initiative	\$150,000
Child Inc	Early Education and Care	\$208,780
Communities In Schools of Central Texas	ASPIRE	\$98,000
Communities In Schools of Central Texas	Dropout Prevention	\$100,000
Del Valle Independent School District	LEAD UNITED	\$75,000 ⁱⁱ
Easter Seals Central Texas	Early Childhood Intervention	\$11,747
LifeWorks	Youth Development	\$72,561
Manor Independent School District	MISD After School Program	\$75,000 ⁱⁱⁱ
Pflugerville Independent School District	After the Bell	\$92,212
Pflugerville Independent School District	After the Bell II	\$73,115 ⁱⁱⁱ
River City Youth Foundation	Dove Springs Youth Services	\$45,083
Seedling Foundation	Seedling's Promise Mentor Program – Del Valle Expansion	\$50,000 ⁱⁱ
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Child Care Local Match	\$223,741
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Continuity of Child Care System Services	\$235,758
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Quality Child Care Collaborative	\$193,000

Agency Name	Program Name	FY 2015 Funding Amount
<i>Food and Transportation</i>		<i>\$430,134</i>
Capital Area Food Bank of Texas	Food Bank	\$57,766
Capital Area Food Bank of Texas	Mobile Food Pantry	\$19,312
Capital Area Rural Transportation System (CARTS)	Transportation/JARC	\$75,000
Capital Area Rural Transportation System (CARTS)	Transportation/Rural Transit	\$130,755
Caritas of Austin	Community Kitchen	\$127,980
Sustainable Food Center	Grow Local	\$19,321
<i>Housing Continuum</i>		<i>\$793,972</i>
Austin Tenants' Council	Telephone Counseling & Mediation Program	\$24,848
Blackland Community Development Corporation	Blackland Transitional Housing	\$9,301
Caritas of Austin	Best Single Source Plus	\$262,500
Foundation for the Homeless, Inc.	Interfaith Hospitality Network	\$13,310
Green Doors	Supportive Housing Program	\$32,978
Green Doors	Veterans Transitional Rental Assistance Program	\$38,934
LifeWorks	Housing	\$140,107
Texas RioGrande Legal Aid, Inc.	Legal Assistance Program	\$173,675
The Salvation Army	Pathways and Partnerships	\$98,319
<i>Planning and Evaluation</i>		<i>\$246,496</i>
Austin Independent School District	Austin/Travis County Mentoring Advisory Council	\$15,000
Children's Optimal Health	Pflugerville ISD Obesity Project	\$35,000
Community Advancement Network	CAN	\$68,096

Agency Name	Program Name	FY 2015 Funding Amount
Ending Community Homelessness Coalition, Inc.	ECHO	\$50,000
Ray Marshall Center for the Study of Human Resources	Evaluation Services	\$78,400
Public Health		\$5,359,476
AIDS Services of Austin	Food Bank	\$62,500
AIDS Services of Austin	Mpowerment/The Q Austin	\$135,000
AIDS Services of Austin	Non-Medical Case Management	\$193,937
Austin/Travis County Health and Human Services Department	Public Health Interlocal	\$3,368,475
City of Austin Animal Services Office	Animal Services	\$1,494,263
Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas	Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program	\$29,601
The Wright House Wellness Center, Inc.	Case Management	\$75,700
Safety Intervention Services		\$329,472
Austin Children's Shelter	Emergency Shelter Program	\$49,203
CASA of Travis County	Child Advocacy	\$85,000
Catholic Charities of Central Texas	Immigration Legal Services	\$10,305
SafePlace	Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Services	\$184,964
Supportive Services for Community Living		\$806,136
Any Baby Can, Inc.	CARE and Candlelighters	\$9,538
Arc of The Capital Area, The	Case Management and Advocacy Services	\$97,656
Arc of The Capital Area, The	Guardianship Case Management Services	\$15,000
Easter Seals Central Texas	Developmental and Clinical Solutions	\$111,494
Easter Seals Central Texas	Employment Solutions	\$64,500

Agency Name	Program Name	FY 2015 Funding Amount
Family Eldercare	Money Management and In-Home Care Services	\$127,435
Helping the Aging, Needy and Disabled, Inc.	Homemaker Services/Personal Attendant	\$22,849
Meals on Wheels and More, Inc.	Congregate Meals	\$143,059
Meals on Wheels and More, Inc.	Meals on Wheels	\$167,376
Vaughn House, Inc.	Community Rehabilitation	\$47,229
Workforce Development		\$2,577,084
American YouthWorks	Travis County Metro Parks Project	\$83,300
American YouthWorks	Workforce Development	\$207,765
Austin Area Urban League	Essential Office Skills Training	\$45,774
Austin Independent School District	Adult Education and English Language Learners Program	\$108,150
Capital IDEA	Long-Term Training	\$875,000
Goodwill Industries of Central Texas	Ready to Work Plus	\$137,439
LifeWorks	ABE - ESL	\$33,249
Literacy Coalition	Literacy Illuminates	\$53,061
Literacy Coalition	Workplace Competency	\$43,609
Skillpoint Alliance	STEM/Youth College & Career and Adult Workforce	\$493,580
Travis County Emergency Services District (ESD) 4	Travis County ESD 4 Fire and EMT Academy	\$96,000
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Rapid Employment Model	\$400,157

- i. Funding from November 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015
- ii. Funding from January 1 through September 30, 2015
- iii. Funding from March 1 through September 30, 2015

PERFORMANCE

The social service contracts included in this report have a wide range of goals, objectives, services, and performance measures. In FY 2015, most programs met the targeted range of performance across both output and outcome measures. Meeting the targeted range of performance means that the performance measure meets or exceeds at least 90% of the contractual performance goal.

Programs falling short of performance goals were often the result of basic operational issues, such as staffing shortages and turnover or funding cuts. Changes in client populations also impacted performance, including clients requiring additional time in a program, thus reducing new client enrollments. Performance measure and methodology changes and difficulties in establishing performance targets also contributed to unexpected performance variance. Also, for programs serving smaller numbers of clients, even minor differences in actual versus expected performance can significantly impact performance results. Economic conditions have, in many cases, increased demand but may also create challenges in achieving goals. Please note that performance measures reflect the entire program's performance, and not the share of the program funded by TCHHS/VS.

Appendix A

2015 Federal Poverty Income Guidelines

Most TCHHS/VS contracts require programs to serve participants with household incomes at or below 200% of the Federal Poverty Income Guideline (FPIG) level. Some programs have chosen to follow a more stringent threshold. The following table presents the federal poverty thresholds by household size and income.

Household Size	Income Limits by Household Size				
	50%	100%	125%	150%	200%
1 person	\$5,885	\$11,770	\$14,713	\$17,655	\$23,540
2 persons	\$7,965	\$15,930	\$19,913	\$23,895	\$31,860
3 persons	\$10,045	\$20,090	\$25,113	\$30,135	\$40,180
4 persons	\$12,125	\$24,250	\$30,313	\$36,375	\$48,500
5 persons	\$14,205	\$28,410	\$35,513	\$42,615	\$56,820
6 persons	\$16,285	\$32,570	\$40,713	\$48,855	\$65,140
7 persons	\$18,365	\$36,730	\$45,913	\$55,095	\$73,460
8 persons	\$20,445	\$40,890	\$51,113	\$61,335	\$81,780

For families/households with more than 8 persons, add \$4,160 for each additional person.

Data source: "2015 Poverty Guidelines," Office of The Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, January 22, 2015, <http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/15poverty.cfm>.

2015 Austin Median Family Income Guidelines

The Blackland Community Development Corporation and Foundation for the Homeless contracts require participants in their programs to have a household income at or below 50% of the Austin Median Family Income (MFI) level. Other programs may also use Austin MFI guidelines when measuring client incomes. The following table presents the median family income limits established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).

Household Size	Income Limits by Household Size		
	30% (Extremely Low)	50% (Very Low)	80% (Low)
1 person	\$16,150	\$26,900	\$43,050
2 persons	\$18,450	\$30,750	\$49,200
3 persons	\$20,750	\$34,600	\$55,350
4 persons	\$24,250	\$38,400	\$61,450
5 persons	\$28,410	\$41,500	\$66,400
6 persons	\$32,570	\$44,550	\$71,300
7 persons	\$36,730	\$47,650	\$76,200
8 persons	\$40,890	\$50,700	\$81,150

Data source: "Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX MSA FY2015 Income Limits Summary," U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il15/FY2015_IL_tx.pdf.

Appendix B

ZIP Code Classification Map

ZIP codes located within Travis County are classified into one of the following eight descriptive categories: Central, East, North, Northeast, Northwest, Southeast, Southwest, and West. These categories were designed to provide a frame of reference when locating ZIP codes on the map and are used to highlight client concentrations across geographic areas.


Descriptive categories are loosely based on Multiple Listing Service (MLS) categories. Occasionally, a ZIP code spans multiple MLS areas. For such ZIP codes, categorization was based on where the bulk of the ZIP code area was located. For example, if a ZIP code spanned the West, South, and Southwest areas, but the majority of the ZIP code area was located in the West area, it was classified as “West.”

A number of ZIP codes are located in Travis County and an adjoining county. These ZIP codes were classified by where the area found inside Travis County lines was mostly located. For example, a ZIP code area may be located in the West area of Travis County, but the majority of the ZIP code area outside of Travis County may be in the Southwest area. In this example, the ZIP code would be classified as “West.”

Please note that the 78616 ZIP code has a miniscule portion of its area within Travis County boundaries and thus is not included on the ZIP code classification map.


ZIP Code Categories

Travis County, FY 2015



ZIP Code Category

- Central
- East
- North
- Northeast
- Northwest
- Southeast
- Southwest
- West



Note: This map was created using City of Austin shapefiles. ZIP code categories are loosely based on Multiple Listing Service (MLS) categories.

Created by: Travis County HHS/VS, Research & Planning Division, 2015.

Endnotes

- 1 U.S. Census Bureau, Incorporated Places and Minor Civil Divisions Datasets: Subcounty Resident Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2014, Texas, <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/cities/totals/2014/SUB-EST2014.html> and U.S. Census Bureau, Incorporated Places and Minor Civil Divisions – Datasets, Texas, <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/cities/totals/2009/SUB-EST2009-states.html>.
- 2 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, C17002, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 3 U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, C17002, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 4 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, C17001, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 5 “Texas TANF and Food Stamps Enrollment Statistics, Food Stamp Cases and Recipients by County,” Texas Health and Human Services Commission, accessed November 3, 2015, http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/research/TANF_FS.asp.
- 6 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B01001, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 7 “Texas TANF and Food Stamps Enrollment Statistics, Food Stamp Cases and Recipients by County,” Texas Health and Human Services Commission, accessed November 3, 2015, http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/research/TANF_FS.asp.
- 8 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B25070, <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>.
- 9 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B25091, <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>.
- 10 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B25070, <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>.
- 11 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B25091, <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>.
- 12 Ending Community Homelessness Coalition (ECHO), “Austin/Travis County 2015 Homelessness Point-in-Time Count Report,” accessed November 12, 2015 <http://austinecho.org/about-homelessness/homeless-data/>.
- 13 Ibid.
- 14 “Unemployment Rates and Labor Force (LAUS)—United States, Texas, County, TRACER Texas Labor Market Information, accessed November 16, 2015, <http://www.tracer2.com/cgi/dataanalysis/labForceReport.asp?menuchoice=LABFORCE>.
- 15 Ibid.
- 16 Ibid.
- 17 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, Texas, and U.S., C27001, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 18 Ibid.
- 19 “Children’s Mental Health Services in Texas: A State of the State Report,” Children’s Hospital Association of Texas, May 2006, <http://www.childhealthtx.org/Children’s%20Mental%20Health%20in%20Texas-State%20of%20a%20State%20Report.pdf>.
- 20 “The NSDUH Report: Substance Use and Mental Health Estimates from the 2013 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Overview of Findings,” Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, September 4, 2014, <http://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-SR200-RecoveryMonth-2014/NSDUH-SR200-RecoveryMonth-2014.htm>.
- 21 U.S. Census Bureau, 2014 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B01001, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 22 Ibid.
- 23 “Texas Population Projections Program,” Texas State Data Center, 2014, Scenario 0.5, <http://txsdc.utsa.edu/Data/TPEPP/Projections/Index.aspx>.