

# **INVESTMENT OVERVIEW**

## **2013 Community Impact Report**

Travis County Health and Human Services & Veterans Service  
Research & Planning Division

**MARCH 2014**

# INVESTMENT OVERVIEW

## 2013 Community Impact Report

Travis County Health and Human Services & Veterans Service  
Research & Planning Division

### County Executive

Sherri E. Fleming

### Project Advisor

Blanca Tapia Leahy

### Project Leads

Courtney Bissonnet Lucas

Korey Darling

Lori Axler Miranda

### Research & Planning Division

Blanca Tapia Leahy, Division Director

Lawrence Lyman, Planning Manager

Lori Axler Miranda

DeAnna Ball

Courtney Bissonnet Lucas

Tara Carmean

Rachel Coff

Korey Darling

Brook Son

Sandra Valenzuela


Elizabeth Vela

Anne Burke, UT MSSW Intern

Amber Joiner-Hill, UT MSSW Intern

### Questions or Comments?

For questions or for more information, please contact the Research & Planning Division at [HHS\\_R&P@co.travis.tx.us](mailto:HHS_R&P@co.travis.tx.us).



# TRAVIS COUNTY

## HEALTH and HUMAN SERVICES & VETERANS SERVICE

### PURPOSE

#### Who we are:

A Department of Travis County that serves the community under the guidance of the Commissioner's Court

#### What we do:

Address community needs through internal and external investments and services

#### What we strive to accomplish:

Maximize quality of life for all people in Travis County

- Protect vulnerable populations
- Invest in social and economic well-being
- Promote healthy living: physical, behavioral, and environmental
- Build a shared understanding of our community

### VALUES

#### We value helping people.

- We provide accessible, person-centered services with respect and care.
- We work to empower people through our service to them, always honoring the strengths and differences of the individuals and families of Travis County.

#### We value the accountability and integrity of our staff.

- We value the diversity of our staff and the experience each of us brings to TCHHS/VS.
- We honor our collective service to the public, including the careful stewardship of public funds.
- We value the quality services we provide to the community in a spirit of shared responsibility.

#### We value cooperation and collaboration in the community at large and within TCHHS/VS.

- We are interdependent and connected.
- We treat one another with respect and value effective communication and teamwork.
- We honor our partners in the community and engage with them to more efficiently and effectively serve our clients.

# Table of Contents

Investment Overview .....	5
Appendix A.....	15
Appendix B.....	16
Endnotes.....	18

# Investment Overview

The Travis County Commissioners Court, through Travis County Health and Human Services & Veterans Service Department (TCHHS/VS), annually invests over \$15 million in community-based social service programs. These Department investments align with and supplement our direct services to meet the critical needs of local residents. Community-based organizations are frequently geographically and culturally embedded in the communities they serve and are often best positioned to provide needed services.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF COMMUNITY CONDITIONS

Community conditions impact social service providers and the individuals they serve. Economics, demographics, as well as social structures and systems, all influence the level of need within a community and the resources available to successfully address community needs. Community conditions help determine service delivery approaches that are most effective in addressing community needs and issues. These conditions also inform stakeholders of progress toward community goals and a particular program's contributions in advancing those goals.

Most social service programs described in this report serve Travis County residents who are in or near poverty. Some programs assist vulnerable populations, such as those experiencing abuse and neglect, irrespective of their income. Current conditions elevate the need for social services for Travis County residents:

- The Travis County population continues to grow rapidly. According to the most recent U.S. Census Bureau population estimates available, 1,095,584 people lived in Travis County in 2012. The county's growth rate of 33% since 2000 (reflecting the addition of 274,657 residents) is faster than the state overall (Texas grew 24% between 2000 and 2012). The county population in areas outside the city of Austin has grown even more rapidly, up 73% since 2000. In 2012, more than a quarter of county residents (27% or 291,392 people) lived in a city or village other than Austin or in an unincorporated area, compared with 21% of residents (168,627 people) in 2000.<sup>1</sup>
- The most recent poverty data was collected in 2012. These data estimate that about 18% of Travis County residents (197,657 people) lived in poverty. The 2012 poverty rate is unchanged from 2011, but does reflect an overall increase in poverty in Travis County over the past 5 years—15% in 2008, 16% in 2009, and 19% in 2010. (Note: the 2010 rate of 19% is not statistically different from the 2011 and 2012 rates of 18%.)<sup>2</sup>

- The poverty rate among children is higher than the overall poverty rate for Travis County. 2012 data indicates that 26% of Travis County children under 18 (67,791 children) lived in poverty.<sup>3</sup>
- In December 2013 there were 43,868 SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) cases in Travis County with 99,936 people (about 9% of all Travis County residents) receiving benefits. The average monthly SNAP enrollment for 2013 (48,302 cases) reflects a slight decrease compared with average monthly enrollment for 2012 (51,692 cases). Overall, SNAP enrollment figures appear to be leveling off following a steady increase between 2008 and 2011.<sup>4</sup>
- Close to 157,000 households in Travis County experienced a housing cost burden in 2012, which is defined as spending 30% or more of household income on housing costs; approximately 76,000 of those households experienced a severe housing cost burden (i.e. spending 50% or more on housing costs). Renters were more likely to be cost burdened than owners.<sup>5</sup>
- The 2013 Annual Homelessness Count provided a point-in-time snapshot of the Austin area homeless population, at a total of 2,090 homeless individuals. Sixty-three percent (1,325) of these individuals were sheltered at the time of the count and 37% (765) were unsheltered.<sup>6</sup>
- National, state, and local unemployment rates follow an improving trend line, with Travis County consistently outperforming the state and nation. The unemployment rate for Travis County began the year at 5.7% in January 2013 but dropped to 4.5% in December. This is the lowest unemployment rate for Travis County since 2007 and remains lower than the state (5.6%) and national (6.5%) December 2013 rates.<sup>7</sup>
- In 2012, 19% of the Travis County population (or 208,783 people) lacked health insurance. Travis County's proportion of uninsured residents was higher than that of the U.S. (15%) but lower than that of Texas (23%).<sup>8</sup>
- Between 2000 and 2010, the Austin-Round Rock metro area had the fastest growing "pre-senior" population (age 55-64) in the nation, with a 110% change from 2000 to 2010. The metro area was ranked second in senior (age 65+) population growth over the same time period, with a 53% change.<sup>9</sup> In 2012, there were 85,458 older adults aged 65 and older living in Travis County, comprising 7.8% of the population;<sup>10</sup> by 2020, a projected 124,750 older adults will make up 10.4% of the county population.<sup>11</sup>

## CLIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Service providers collected client demographic data, when possible.<sup>a</sup> Overall, demographic data were provided for 73% to 99% of 82,676 total clients, depending on the demographic category.<sup>b</sup> Of clients with known demographics, 56% (45,344) were female and 44% (36,198) were male. Over one-half (51%, or 40,060) of these clients were White, 24% (18,822) were Black or African American, and the remainder were of another race. In terms of ethnicity,<sup>c</sup> 46% (36,401) of clients were Hispanic or Latino. Children and youth ages 17 and younger accounted for 40% (32,564) of clients. Twenty-one percent of clients (16,663) were ages 25 to 39, and 19% (15,675) were between 40 and 59 years of age. Close to one-half (42% or 25,599) of clients had incomes below 50% of the Federal Poverty Income Guidelines (FPIG), and 26% (15,878) of clients had incomes between 50% and 100% of FPIG. (See Appendix A for specific guideline income levels.)

## CLIENT LOCATION BY ZIP CODE

When possible, the contracted service providers also documented the ZIP code where clients resided when they entered the program.<sup>d</sup> Service providers collected residential information for 97% of all clients, including clients with ZIP codes within Travis County (87%), clients with ZIP codes outside of Travis County (3%), and clients who were homeless<sup>e</sup> at entry into the program (7%); the remainder (3%) represent clients with unknown ZIP codes.

---

a Client demographic data may be unreported for reasons such as protection of client privacy and difficulty obtaining data (e.g., due to services delivered via outreach or at large-scale events). Clients enrolled in programs that do not collect income information were classified as “unknown” in the income level category.

b Please note that clients participating in more than one program are counted multiple times in the summary of contracted service providers’ data.


c For the purposes of tracking reported client data, TCHHS/VS has adopted demographic categories used by the U.S. Census Bureau. The U.S. Census Bureau considers race and Hispanic origin to be two separate and distinct concepts. Hispanics and Latinos may be of any race. Therefore, clients reporting their race, such as White or Black or African American, may also be Hispanic or Latino. Programs that collect race and ethnicity data in a single demographic category are encouraged to report Hispanic or Latino clients as “Some other race” in the race category.

d Client ZIP code data may be unreported for reasons such as protection of client privacy and difficulty obtaining data (e.g., due to services delivered via outreach or at large-scale events).

e The number of homeless clients served is likely underrepresented as some agencies reported the last known permanent ZIP code of the client, rather than noting that the client was homeless at entry into the program. At least one agency requires clients to provide a ZIP code to receive services; these clients may meet the definition of homeless but were able to provide a ZIP code at entry into the program.

Of clients with known ZIP codes, 20% of clients resided in the East area (16,369 clients) of Travis County. The Northeast (19% or 15,217) and Southeast (18% or 14,286) areas also had sizeable shares of clients in residence. (See Appendix B for ZIP code classification map.)

### Areas of Client Residence, 2013




## INVESTMENT BY ISSUE AREA

The following chart does not represent total TCHHS/VS investments and services. It only shows the percent of funding devoted to each issue area for the social service contracts included in this report. These contracts are a subset of the Department’s broader investments of general funds in both purchased and direct services. The Department also makes grant-funded program investments.

Public Health and Access to Healthcare contracts accounted for the greatest share of the TCHHS/VS investment reflected in this report, at 27% of the total investment, closely followed by Behavioral Health contracts (26%). Workforce Development (16%) and Child and Youth Development (14%) contracts also comprised sizeable shares of the total investment. The Department’s investments represented varying percentages of each contracted program’s total budget. Investment percentages ranged from 0.6% to 100%, constituting an average of 23.6% of a program’s total budget. Actual investment percentages for each social service contract are provided on each program’s page.

## Investment in Issue Areas for Social Service Contracts, 2013



# FUNDING SUMMARY

The 2013 Funding Amount reflects calendar year funding (January 1 through December 31, 2013) unless otherwise noted.

Agency Name	Program Name	2013 Funding Amount
<b>Basic Needs</b>		<b>\$410,813</b>
Capital Area Food Bank of Texas, Inc.	Food Bank	\$57,766
Capital Area Food Bank of Texas, Inc.	Mobile Food Pantry	\$19,312
Capital Area Rural Transportation System (CARTS)	Transportation/JARC	\$75,000
Capital Area Rural Transportation System (CARTS)	Transportation/Rural Transit	\$130,755
Caritas of Austin	Community Kitchen	\$127,980
<b>Behavioral Health</b>		<b>\$4,055,213</b>
Austin Child Guidance Center	Children's Outpatient Mental Health & Evaluation Services	\$101,343
Austin Child Guidance Center	Latino Children's Mental Health Project	\$104,200
Austin Travis County Integral Care	Main Mental Health Interlocal	\$1,411,054
Austin Travis County Integral Care	Substance Abuse Managed Services Organization	\$1,134,929 <sup>i</sup>
Austin Travis County Integral Care	System of Care Managed Services Organization	\$650,000 <sup>ii</sup>
Capital Area Counseling	Low Cost, No Session Limit, Outpatient Counseling	\$17,174
Communities In Schools of Central Texas	Care Coordination Program for Youth and Family Assessment Center	\$394,949
LifeWorks	Counseling	\$94,585
Out Youth	Youth Development	\$12,880
Worker's Assistance Program, Inc.	Youth Advocacy - Creating Lasting Family Connections	\$43,503
Young Women's Christian Association (YWCA) of Greater Austin	YW Counseling & Referral Center	\$90,596

Agency Name	Program Name	2013 Funding Amount
<b>Child and Youth Development</b>		<b>\$2,227,454</b>
African American Youth Harvest Foundation, Inc.	AAMB Conferences	\$25,000
African American Youth Harvest Foundation, Inc.	African American Youth Resource Center	\$257,000
Any Baby Can of Austin, Inc.	Any Baby Can of Austin, Inc.	\$179,538
Austin Independent School District	Travis County Collaborative Afterschool Program	\$544,800
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central Texas, Inc.	Mentoring	\$62,257
Boys & Girls Clubs of the Austin Area	GREAT Futures Initiative	\$150,000
Child Inc	Early Education and Care	\$208,780
Communities In Schools of Central Texas	Dropout Prevention	\$100,000
Greater Calvary Rights of Passage	CCL Development Lab	\$31,482
LifeWorks	Youth Development	\$72,561
The Overton Group	Early Childhood Spanish Language Program	\$25,000 <sup>iii</sup>
Pflugerville Independent School District	After the Bell	\$92,212
River City Youth Foundation	Dove Springs Youth Services	\$45,083
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Child Care Local Match	\$223,741 <sup>i</sup>
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Quality Child Care Collaborative	\$210,000
<b>Education</b>		<b>\$190,120</b>
Austin Independent School District	Adult Education/English Language Learners Program	\$108,150
BookSpring	Reading is Fundamental (RIF): Elementary School Program	\$13,126
LifeWorks	ABE-ESL	\$33,249
Literacy Coalition of Central Texas	Literacy Illuminates	\$35,595 <sup>iv</sup>

Agency Name	Program Name	2013 Funding Amount
<b><i>Housing Continuum</i></b>		<b><i>\$854,464</i></b>
Austin Children's Shelter	Emergency Shelter Program (ESP) and Assessment	\$49,203
Austin Tenants' Council	Telephone Counseling & Mediation Program	\$24,848
Blackland Community Development Corporation	Blackland Transitional Housing	\$9,301
Caritas of Austin	Best Single Source Plus	\$262,500
Foundation for the Homeless, Inc.	Family Promise-Interfaith Hospitality Network	\$13,310
Green Doors	Supportive Housing Program	\$32,978
Green Doors	Veterans Transitional Rental Assistance Program	\$38,934
LifeWorks	Housing	\$140,107
SafePlace	Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Services	\$184,964
The Salvation Army	Pathways and Partnerships	\$98,319
<b><i>Legal Services</i></b>		<b><i>\$268,980</i></b>
CASA of Travis County	Child Advocacy	\$85,000
Catholic Charities of Central Texas	Immigration Legal Services	\$10,305
Texas RioGrande Legal Aid, Inc.	Legal Assistance Program	\$173,675
<b><i>Planning and Evaluation</i></b>		<b><i>\$194,396</i></b>
Community Action Network	Community Action Network	\$65,996
Ending Community Homelessness Coalition	ECHO Support	\$50,000 <sup>v</sup>
Ray Marshall Center for the Study of Human Resources	Evaluation Services	\$78,400
<b><i>Public Health and Access to Healthcare</i></b>		<b><i>\$4,230,939</i></b>
AIDS Services of Austin	Food Bank	\$62,500
AIDS Services of Austin	Mpowerment	\$135,000

Agency Name	Program Name	2013 Funding Amount
AIDS Services of Austin	Non-Medical Case Management	\$193,937
Austin/Travis County Health and Human Services Department	Public Health Interlocal	\$2,825,997 <sup>i</sup>
City of Austin Animal Services Department	Animal Services	\$888,883 <sup>i</sup>
Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc.	Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program	\$29,601
Sustainable Food Center	Grow Local	\$19,321
The Wright House Wellness Center, Inc.	Case Management	\$75,700
<b><i>Supportive Services for Independent Living</i></b>		<b>\$808,345</b>
The Arc of The Capital Area	Case Management and Advocacy Services	\$97,656
The Arc of The Capital Area	Guardianship Case Management	\$15,000
Easter Seals Central Texas	Developmental and Clinical Solutions	\$123,241
Easter Seals Central Texas	Employment Solutions	\$64,500
Family Eldercare	Money Management and In-Home Care and Caregiver Services	\$127,435
Helping the Aging, Needy and Disabled, Inc.	Homemaker/Personal Assistance	\$22,849
Meals on Wheels and More, Inc.	Congregate Meal Program	\$143,059
Meals on Wheels and More, Inc.	Meals on Wheels	\$167,376
Vaughn House, Inc.	Community Rehabilitation	\$47,229
<b><i>Workforce Development</i></b>		<b>\$2,452,938</b>
American YouthWorks	Travis County Metro Parks Project	\$83,300
American YouthWorks	Workforce Development	\$207,765
Ascend Center for Learning	Workplace Competency	\$43,609
Austin Area Urban League	Essential Office Skills Training	\$34,330 <sup>vi</sup>

Agency Name	Program Name	2013 Funding Amount
Austin Community College District	Teacher and Director TRAC	\$56,758 <sup>i</sup>
BiG AUSTIN	Small Business and Job Creation Ex-Offender Re-Entry Program	\$25,000
Capital IDEA	Long-Term Training	\$875,000
Goodwill Industries of Central Texas	Ready to Work Plus	\$137,439
Skillpoint Alliance	Youth College & Career and Adult Workforce-Gateway Program	\$493,580
Travis County Emergency Services District (ESD) 4	Travis County Fire Academy	\$96,000 <sup>i</sup>
Workforce Solutions Capital Area Workforce Board	Rapid Employment Model	\$400,157

- i. Fiscal year funding (October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013).
- ii. Fiscal year funding (October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013); includes \$75,000 of required match funds for federal grant.
- iii. Funding from February 1 through December 31, 2013.
- iv. Funding from July 15 through December 31, 2013.
- v. Funding from March 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013.
- vi. Funding from April 1 through December 31, 2013.

## PERFORMANCE

The social service contracts included in this report have a wide range of goals, objectives, services, and performance measures. In 2013, most programs met the targeted range of performance across both output and outcome measures. Meeting the targeted range of performance means that the performance measure meets or exceeds at least 90% of the contractual performance goal.

Programs falling short of performance goals were often the result of basic operational issues, such as staffing shortages and turnover or funding cuts. Changes in client populations also impacted performance, including clients requiring additional time in a program, thus reducing new client enrollments. Also, for programs serving smaller numbers of clients, even minor changes can lead to highly volatile performance results. Economic conditions have, in many cases, increased demand but may also create challenges in achieving goals. Significant programmatic or performance measure and methodology changes that occurred in 2013 also contributed to unexpected performance variance. Please note that performance measures reflect the entire program's performance, and not the share of the program funded by TCHHS/VS.

# Appendix A

## 2013 Federal Poverty Income Guidelines

Most TCHHS/VS contracts require programs to serve participants with household incomes at or below 200% of the Federal Poverty Income Guideline (FPIG) level. Some programs have chosen to follow a more stringent threshold. The following table presents the federal poverty thresholds by household size and income.

Household Size	Income Limits for Threshold Levels				
	50%	100%	125%	150%	200%
1	\$5,745	\$11,490	\$14,363	\$17,235	\$22,980
2	\$7,755	\$15,510	\$19,388	\$23,265	\$31,020
3	\$9,765	\$19,530	\$24,413	\$29,295	\$39,060
4	\$11,775	\$23,550	\$29,438	\$35,325	\$47,100
5	\$13,785	\$27,570	\$34,463	\$41,355	\$55,140
6	\$15,795	\$31,590	\$39,488	\$47,385	\$63,180
7	\$17,805	\$35,610	\$44,513	\$53,415	\$71,220
8	\$19,815	\$39,630	\$49,538	\$59,445	\$79,260

**For families/households with more than 8 persons, add \$4,020 for each additional person.**

Data source: "2013 Poverty Guidelines," U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, *Federal Register*, Vol. 78, No. 16, January 24, 2013, pp. 5182-5183, <http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/13poverty.cfm>.

## 2013 Austin Median Family Income Guidelines

The Blackland Community Development Corporation and Foundation for the Homeless contracts require participants in their programs to have a household income at or below 50% of the Austin Median Family Income (MFI) level. Other programs may also use Austin MFI guidelines when measuring client incomes. The following table presents the median family income limits established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Austin-Round Rock Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Household Size	Income Limits for Threshold Levels		
	30% (Extremely Low)	50% (Very Low)	80% (Low)
1	\$15,400	\$25,650	\$41,000
2	\$17,600	\$29,300	\$46,850
3	\$19,800	\$32,950	\$52,700
4	\$21,950	\$36,600	\$58,550
5	\$23,750	\$39,550	\$63,250
6	\$25,500	\$42,500	\$67,950
7	\$27,250	\$45,400	\$72,650
8	\$29,000	\$48,350	\$77,300

Data source: "Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX MSA FY 2013 Income Limits Summary," U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, <http://www.huduser.org>

# Appendix B

## ZIP Code Classification Map

ZIP codes located within Travis County are classified into one of the following eight descriptive categories: Central, East, North, Northeast, Northwest, Southeast, Southwest, and West. These categories were designed to provide a frame of reference when locating ZIP codes on the map and are used to highlight client concentrations across geographic areas.


Descriptive categories are loosely based on Multiple Listing Service (MLS) categories. Occasionally, a ZIP code spans multiple MLS areas. For such ZIP codes, categorization was based on where the bulk of the ZIP code area was located. For example, if a ZIP code spanned the West, South, and Southwest areas, but the majority of the ZIP code area was located in the West area, it was classified as “West.”

A number of ZIP codes are located in Travis County and an adjoining county. These ZIP codes were classified by where the area found inside Travis County lines was mostly located. For example, a ZIP code area may be located in the West area of Travis County, but the majority of the ZIP code area outside of Travis County may be in the Southwest area. In this example, the ZIP code would be classified as “West.”

Please note that the 78616 ZIP code has a miniscule portion of its area within Travis County boundaries and thus is not included on the ZIP code classification map.

# ZIP Code Categories

Travis County, 2013



Note: This map was created using City of Austin shapefiles. ZIP code categories are loosely based on Multiple Listing Service (MLS) categories.

Created by: Travis County HHS/VS Research & Planning Division, 2013.



# Endnotes

- 1 U.S. Census Bureau Population Division, Subcounty Resident Population Estimates: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2009, Texas, <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/cities/totals/2009/SUB-EST2009-states.html> and U.S. Census Bureau Population Division, Subcounty Resident Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2012, Texas, <https://www.census.gov/popest/data/cities/totals/2012/SUB-EST2012.html>.
- 2 U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B17002. Ratio of Income to Poverty Level in the Past 12 Months - Universe: Population for Whom Poverty Status is Determined, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 3 U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, C17001. Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Sex by Age - Universe: Population for Whom Poverty Status is Determined, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 4 Texas TANF and Food Stamps Enrollment Statistics, Food Stamp Cases and Recipients by County," Texas Health and Human Services Commission, accessed January 14, 2014, [http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/research/TANF\\_FS.asp](http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/research/TANF_FS.asp).
- 5 U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B25070. Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income in the past 12 Months - Universe: Renter-Occupied Housing Units, and B25091. Mortgage Status by Selected Monthly Owner Costs as a percentage of Household Income in the Past 12 Months - Universe: Owner-Occupied Housing Units, <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>.
- 6 "Community Data on Shelter Housing and Services," Austin/Travis County Ending Community Homelessness Coalition (ECHO), accessed January 9, 2014, <http://austinecho.org/about-homelessness/homeless-data/>.
- 7 "Unemployment Rates and Labor Force (LAUS)—United States, Texas, County, TRACER Texas Labor Market Information, accessed January 27, 2013, <http://www.tracer2.com/cgi/dataanalysis/AreaSelection.asp?tableName=Labforce>.
- 8 U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, Texas and U.S., C27001. Health Insurance Coverage Status by Sex by Age—Universe: Civilian noninstitutionalized population, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.
- 9 William H. Frey, "The Uneven Aging and 'Younging' of America: State and Metropolitan Trends in the 2010 Census," Metropolitan Policy Program at Brookings, June 2011, 9, <http://www.brookings.edu>.
- 10 U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, Travis County, B01001, Sex by Age - Universe: Total population, <http://factfinder2.census.gov/>.
- 11 "Tables for Texas Population Projections," Texas State Data Center, 2012, Scenario 0.5 <http://txsdc.utsa.edu/Data/TPEPP/Projections/Tables.aspx>.